

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION****Federal Aviation Administration****14 CFR Part 77**

[Docket No. FAA-2006-25002; Amendment No. 77-13]

RIN 2120-AH31

**Safe, Efficient Use and Preservation of the Navigable Airspace**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This action amends the regulations governing objects that may affect the navigable airspace. These rules have not been revised in several decades, and the FAA has determined it is necessary to update the regulations, incorporate case law and legislative action, and simplify the rule language. These changes will improve safety and promote the efficient use of the National Airspace System.

**DATES:** This amendment becomes effective January 18, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For technical questions about this final rule contact Ellen Crum, Air Traffic Systems Operations, Airspace and Rules Group, AJR-33, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Ave., SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267-8783, facsimile (202) 267-9328. For legal questions about this final rule contact Lorelei Peter, Office of the Chief Counsel-Regulations Division, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Ave., SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267-3134, facsimile 202-267-7971.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Authority for This Rulemaking**

The Administrator has broad authority to regulate the safe and efficient use of the navigable airspace (49 U.S.C. 40103(a)). The Administrator is also authorized to issue air traffic rules and regulations to govern the flight, navigation, protection, and identification of aircraft for the protection of persons and property on the ground, and for the efficient use of the navigable airspace (49 U.S.C. 40103(b)). The Administrator may also conduct investigations and prescribe regulations, standards, and procedures in carrying out the authority under this part (49 U.S.C. 40113). The Administrator is authorized to protect civil aircraft in air commerce (49 U.S.C. 44070(a)(5)).

Under § 44701(a)(5), the Administrator promotes safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations and minimum standards for other practices, methods, and procedures necessary for safety in air commerce and national security. Also, § 44718 provides that under regulations issued by the Administrator, notice to the agency is required for any construction, alteration, establishment, or expansion of a structure or sanitary landfill, when the notice will promote safety in air commerce, and the efficient use and preservation of the navigable airspace and airport traffic capacity at public use airports. This statutory provision also provides that, under regulations issued by the Administrator, the agency determines whether such construction or alteration is an obstruction of the navigable airspace, or an interference with air navigation facilities and equipment or the navigable airspace. If a determination is made that the construction or alteration creates an obstruction or otherwise interferes, the agency then conducts an aeronautical study to determine adverse impacts on the safe and efficient use of the airspace, facilities, or equipment.

**I. Background***A. Summary of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)*

On June 13, 2006, the FAA published an NPRM that proposed to amend the regulations governing objects that may affect the navigable airspace (71 FR 34028). The FAA proposed to: Establish notification requirements and obstruction standards for transmitting on certain frequencies; revise obstruction standards for civil airport imaginary surfaces to more closely align these standards with FAA airport design and instrument approach procedure (IAP) criteria; revise current definitions and include new definitions; require proponents to file with the FAA a notice of proposed construction or alteration for structures near private use airports that have an FAA-approved IAP; and increase the number of days in which a notice must be filed with the FAA before beginning construction or alteration. The comment period closed on September 11, 2006.

*B. Summary of the Final Rule*

The following is a discussion of the major changes contained in the final rule. The provisions of the final rule that were modified based on comments the FAA received are discussed in the "Discussion of the Final Rule" section. Most of the amendments implemented

by the rule are intended to simplify the existing regulations.

This rule adds § 77.29 to incorporate the specific factors listed in P.L. 100-223 for consideration during an aeronautical study. The specific factors are listed in Appendix A to this preamble. Including this language in part 77 does not add or remove any of the factors currently considered in an aeronautical study.

This rule provides for an FAA Determination of Hazard or Determination of No Hazard to become effective 40 days after the date of issuance, unless a petition for discretionary review is received by the FAA within 30 days of issuance. In addition, the rule stipulates that a Determination of No Hazard to air navigation will expire 18 months after the effective date of the determination, or on the date the proposed construction or alteration is abandoned. Also, the rule specifies that a Determination of Hazard to Air Navigation does not expire.

This final rule adds information about the processing of petitions for discretionary review. It also excludes determinations for temporary structures and recommendations for marking and lighting from the discretionary review process. Because of the nature of temporary structures, it is not possible to apply the lengthy discretionary review process to these structures. Also, since marking and lighting recommendations are simply recommendations, there is a separate process for a waiver of, or deviation from, the recommendations.

This rule expands the requirements for notice to be sent to the FAA for proposed construction or alteration of structures on or near private use airports that have an IAP. Accordingly, if a private use airport has an FAA-approved IAP, then a construction sponsor must notify the FAA of a proposed construction or alteration that exceeds the notice criteria in § 77.17. This action will give the FAA enough time to adjust the IAP, if needed, and to inform those who use the IAP.

Also, IAPs at private use airports or heliports are not currently listed in any aeronautical publication. Sponsors of construction or alteration at or near a private use airport or heliport should consult the FAA Web site to determine whether an FAA-approved IAP is listed for that airport.<sup>1</sup> If the airport is listed on the Web site, the sponsor must file notice with the FAA.

Lastly, this rule incorporates minor edits to the regulatory text to distinguish

<sup>1</sup> <https://oeaaa.faa.gov>.

FAA surveillance systems from communication facilities.

### C. Summary of Comments

The FAA received approximately 115 comments from individuals, aviation associations, industry spectrum users, airlines, and other aviation businesses. Many commenters, including the Air Transport Association, generally supported the NPRM. Commenters supported specific proposals concerning evaluating the aeronautical impact of proposed construction on IAPs at private use airports; evaluating antenna installations that might affect air traffic or navigation; and the update and reformat of the regulations. Comments that did not support the proposed rule, and suggested changes, are discussed more fully in the "Discussion of the Final Rule" section.

The FAA received substantive comments on the following general areas of the proposal:

- Frequency notification requirements
- Time requirement to file notice with the FAA
- Civil Airport Imaginary Surfaces<sup>2</sup>
- One Engine Inoperative Procedures (OEI)
- Definitions
- Miscellaneous

## II. Discussion of the Final Rule

### A. Frequency Notification

The FAA's primary focus during the obstruction evaluation process is safety and efficiency of the navigable airspace. It is critical for the agency to be notified of pending construction of physical objects that may affect the safety of aeronautical operations. (See 49 U.S.C. 44718.) In today's National Airspace System (NAS), however, electromagnetic transmissions can adversely affect on-board flight avionics, navigation, communication, and surveillance facilities. The FAA has extensive authority to prescribe regulations and minimum standards necessary for safety in air commerce. (See 49 U.S.C. § 44701(a)(5).) In addition, the FAA has broad authority to develop policy and plans for the use of the navigable airspace. (See 49 U.S.C. 40103.) The FAA relied on these authorities in proposing the notice requirements for broadcast transmissions in the specified bands. As stated in the proposal, broadcast transmission on certain frequencies can

pose serious safety threats to avionics and ground based facilities. At the same time, the FAA recognizes the authority of the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) and the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to manage use of the radio spectrum.

The FAA concludes that its proposal to require notice for the proposed frequency bands was too broad. The proposed frequencies from the NPRM are listed in Appendix B to this preamble. The proposed frequencies in the shared (Federal and Non-Federal) bands are managed by an existing process involving several Federal agencies with an interest in spectrum use, which NTIA oversees under the Department of Commerce. It is not the FAA's intent to add a duplicative review and coordination process to that already stated above. In addition, the FAA has determined that some of the proposed frequencies originally listed and not in shared bands do not present concern. Therefore, the agency withdraws the proposed notice and obstruction standards on the shared frequency bands and those frequency bands that, historically, have not posed electromagnetic concerns,<sup>3</sup> when operating under typical specifications.

FM broadcast service transmissions operating in the 88.0–107.9 MHz frequency band pose the greatest concern to FAA navigation signals. The FAA, FCC and NTIA are collaborating on the best way to address this issue. A resolution of this issue is expected soon. Therefore, the proposals on FM broadcast service transmissions in the 88.0–107.9 MHz frequency band remain pending. The FAA will address the comments filed in this docket about the proposed frequency notice requirements and proposed EMI obstruction standards when a formal and collaborative decision is announced.

This rule does include evaluating electromagnetic effect (§§ 77.29 and 77.31), and it codifies the agency's current practices of studying the effects on aircraft navigation and communication facilities. These amendments in no way should be construed to affect the authority of NTIA and the FCC.

### B. Time Requirement To File Notice With the FAA

Automation improvements to the FAA's obstruction evaluation program allow the public to file notices of

proposed construction electronically, which facilitates the aeronautical study process and has reduced the overall processing time for these cases. The FAA proposed to require that notices of proposed construction or alterations must be filed with the FAA at least 60 days before construction starts or the application filing date for a construction permit, whichever is earliest. The current rule requires 30 days, which the FAA found inadequate for cases to be processed, particularly if additional information, via public comment period, was necessary to complete the study. At the time the FAA published the NPRM, the automation system was in the early stages, and the full benefits of the automation were not yet known. Commenters were split on their support of this proposal, depending on their interests. Comments from the aviation industry largely supported the extended time period. Comments filed by the building industry, however, opposed the extended time period, saying it was too long and would cause undue delay.

The FAA has seen great success with the automation system and concludes that requiring notice to be filed 60 days before construction or the permit application is not necessary. There are cases where circulating the proposal for public comment may be necessary and, consequently, these cases may require up to 45 days for processing. Therefore, the FAA adopts the requirement that notice must be filed with the FAA for proposed construction or alteration at least 45 days before either the date that construction begins, or the date of the construction permit application, whichever is earliest.

Because applications are required within 45 days of construction, the FAA, Department of Defense, and Department of Homeland Security should work together to conduct timely reviews. To that end, the FAA will respond to inquiries from applicants regarding the status of applications, the reason(s) for any delay, and the projected date of completion. As appropriate, the FAA will engage with other Federal Agencies such as the Department of Defense, the Department of Homeland Security, the Department of Energy, and the Department of Interior to expedite any further regulatory modifications and improvements to 14 CFR Part 77 to ensure there is a predictable, consistent, transparent, and timely application process for the wind industry.

Several commenters recommended separate notice requirements for reviewing a temporary structure that might be necessary under emergency-type circumstances. An example

<sup>2</sup> Civil airport imaginary surfaces are established surfaces based on the runway that are used to identify objects that may impact airport plans or aircraft departure/arrival procedures or routes. Section 77.19 describes five types of imaginary surfaces: horizontal, conical, primary, approach and transitional.

<sup>3</sup> 54–88 MHz; 150–216 MHz; 406–430 MHz; 931–940 MHz; 952–960 MHz; 1390–1400 MHz; 2500–2700 MHz; 3700–4200 MHz; 5000–5650 MHz; 5925–6225 MHz; 7450–8550 MHz; 14.2–14.4 GHz.

submitted in the comments was a construction crane that was necessary to replace air conditioning units on the roof of factories. The commenters contend that it is neither logical nor feasible to shut down a factory for 30 days while the FAA studies this temporary structure.

Situations like the one presented by these commenters are not uncommon. Regardless of whether the structure is temporary, it remains critical for the FAA to have notice of tall structures that can affect aeronautical operations. In most cases, the proponent of the structure contacts the FAA Obstruction Evaluation (OE) specialist and identifies the need for a quick review, for which the agency readily responds. While the FAA regrets any past delay in taking quick action on a particular case, the agency declines to set-up special procedures to address such cases. On the FAA's OE Web site,<sup>4</sup> the agency lists the contact information for the FAA specialist. If a sponsor is concerned with the time frame for the FAA's review, the agency encourages the sponsor to contact the FAA specialist directly.

### C. Civil Airport Imaginary Surfaces

The NPRM proposed, for a visual runway used by small aircraft or restricted to day-only instrument operations, that the width of the imaginary approach surface expand uniformly to 1,250 ft. If the runway is a visual runway, used by other than small aircraft or for instrument night circling, the surface width expands uniformly from 1,500 ft. to 3,500 ft. If the runway is a non-precision instrument or precision instrument runway, the surface width expands uniformly to 4,000 ft. and 16,000 ft., respectively. Other changes include removing approach surface widths of 1,500 ft. and 2,000 ft., and increasing the width for some non-precision runways from 2,000 ft. to 4,000 ft. The NPRM also proposed expanding the width of the primary approach surface of a non-precision instrument runway or precision instrument runway from 500 feet to 1,000 ft.

Many commenters opposed the proposed expansion of the primary surface. They argued that the proposed expansion would require airport operators to remove existing structures that would fall within the proposed expanded surface, which would result in a financial burden to airport owners and managers. Southwest Airlines, on the other hand, supported the proposal and stated the ability to study and

review more proposed structures is positive for airport safety.

Several comments stated that the imaginary surfaces in part 77 do not comport clearly with the surfaces used for obstacle clearance under the United States Standard for Terminal Instrument Procedures (TERPS) and, therefore, makes the part 77 surfaces useless as a project planning tool for airport development.

Similarly, another commenter argued that the Required Navigation Performance (RNP) lateral protection area is greater than the width of the primary surface and the RNP procedures TERPS surface is outside the part 77 imaginary surface. The commenter contends that an obstacle can adversely impact an RNP procedure, but not be characterized as an obstruction. This commenter recommends that the imaginary surfaces be expanded to include RNP procedures.

Several commenters specifically questioned whether current obstructions that fall within the newly expanded primary surface could impact an instrument procedure and result in the airport losing the instrument procedure. One airport authority was concerned about marking and lighting recommendations for existing structures that will now fall under the expanded primary surface.

The FAA proposed these changes to more closely align regulatory provisions in part 77 with TERPS criteria and airport design standards. The inconsistency between IAP criteria, airport design standards, and part 77 surfaces has been a source of confusion for both airport managers and the FAA. These specific proposals would not have altered the notice criteria. Instead, the proposals were meant to identify more proposed structures as obstructions that the FAA could study to determine if they would adversely affect the NAS.

However, since publication of the NPRM, the FAA has begun a coordinated effort to consolidate all agency requirements for the treatment of obstacles in the airport environment. Once completed, the new requirements will form the basis for revised civil airport imaginary surfaces. Thus, it would not be prudent to codify the proposals. Further, amending or expanding any of the civil airport imaginary surfaces at this time would not be in the best interest of the public. The FAA, therefore, withdraws all proposed modifications to the civil airport imaginary surfaces, including the chart format. The FAA will keep the civil airport imaginary surfaces rule as

it is currently described in 14 CFR 77.25.

### D. One Engine Inoperative Procedures

The NPRM specifically states that OEI procedures were not a part of the rulemaking. The NPRM further notes that the FAA has tasked the Airport Obstruction Standards Committee (AOSC) with examining this issue. Comments from the Air Transport Association, individual airlines, local airport authorities, and aviation organizations, asked the FAA to address OEI procedures. These comments have been forwarded to the AOSC for consideration. As appropriate, the FAA will advise the aviation industry and other interested persons, through the AOSC, of any policy changes.

### E. Definitions

The NPRM proposed replacing the term "utility runway" with the phrase "runway used by small aircraft". In addition, the NPRM proposed amending the definitions for precision, non-precision, and visual runways, as these definitions were no longer up-to-date with industry practices. The term "utility runway" is not widely used in industry so the NPRM proposed replacing the term. In addition, the NPRM proposed amending the definitions for precision and non-precision runways to address approaches that use other than ground based navigational aids, such as flight management systems (FMS) and global navigation satellite systems (GNSS). Because of technological advances, the former definitions for precision and non-precision runways are no longer accurate.

By removing the term "utility runway", commenters stated the portions of the rule that include the term became confusing. They note that the runway classifications and corresponding widths for the primary and approach surfaces in the tables in § 77.19(d)(e) are difficult to understand.

Several commenters confused the proposed definitions for precision and non-precision instrument runways with the definitions for precision and non-precision instrument approach procedures.<sup>5</sup> One commenter suggested the non-precision runway definition should exclude a runway that has a developed instrument approach procedure with visibility minimums of

<sup>5</sup> The FAA proposed definitions for the terms "precision instrument runway" and "non-precision instrument runway" to be based on the use of visibility minimums, rather than approach procedure classification, given that visibility is the critical factor during the visual portion of the approach.

<sup>4</sup> <https://oeaaa.faa.gov>.

one statute mile. This commenter contends that many small, general aviation airports have published procedures with one mile visibility under the current obstruction criteria of a utility runway. The commenter also notes that if the FAA adopts the proposal to limit non-precision runways to procedures with visibility minimums of one statute mile, then these small airports would need to have the more demanding primary surfaces and approach criteria. The commenter further says this could result in financial hardship for these airports and the airports may need to double the designated airspace around the runway. Another commenter stated that the new definition for a non-precision runway conflicts with FAA Advisory Circular 150/5300-13, Airport Design.

Commenters also indicated that the new definition and associated surfaces would take runways that currently qualify as utility into the non-precision category. They say these modifications could result in unfunded economic burdens on outlying airports with IAPs to utility runways that experience lower traffic densities. Additionally, commenters noted that many of these airports are configured with minimal infrastructure and could face significant airport expansion to obtain IAP services if the runway is categorized as non-precision.

Several commenters also stated that the proposed definitions of precision and non-precision runways try to redefine the current precision and non-precision instrument procedures because satellite technology could, in the future, enable non-precision approaches to become precision approaches.

Although the FAA proposed to revise these definitions, on further review, the agency has determined it should not revise them at this time. The definitions were proposed to support implementing satellite-based navigation. However, as the satellite-based navigation program has evolved during development of this rulemaking, the agency has learned of unintended consequences of the proposed definitions. For example, changing the runway definition creates infrastructure requirements that may be needed as the technology evolves. The FAA believes a more measured approach is needed before making any changes to the definitions. Thus, the agency will not adopt the proposed revisions to the definitions in this final rule.

#### *F. Extension to a Determination of No Hazard*

The NPRM proposed a provision for which an extension to the expiration date for a Determination of No Hazard may be granted. Specifically, it proposed that for structures not subject to FCC review, a Determination of No Hazard can be extended for a maximum of 18 months, if necessary. If more than 18 months is necessary, then a new aeronautical study would be initiated. For structures that require an FCC construction permit, the NPRM proposed that a Determination of No Hazard can be extended for up to 12 months, provided the sponsor submits evidence that an application for a construction permit was filed within 6 months of the date of issuance. The NPRM also proposed that if the FCC extends the original FCC construction completion date, the sponsor must request an extension of the FAA's Determination of No Hazard.

Many commenters found that the two time periods (18 and 12 months) were confusing. The FAA's review of this matter concluded that it is not necessary to continue the distinction between structures subject to FCC review from structures that do not need this review, simply to extend the expiration date. Therefore, for simplification and standardization, the FAA amends the time period for extensions to determinations of structures to 18 months, regardless of whether an FCC construction permit is necessary.

In addition, the FAA unintentionally omitted a section of the current rule from the NPRM. That section states that if the FCC denies a construction permit, the final determination expires on the date of the denial. The FAA has reinserted that section in this final rule.

#### *G. Effective Date*

The effective date of this final rule is 180 days from the date the rule is published in the **Federal Register**. The FAA needs this time to amend the automation system it uses to evaluate obstructions, amend relevant FAA orders, train employees, and educate the public.

#### *H. Miscellaneous*

One commenter said the requirement to file notice should extend to structures that would penetrate an imaginary surface relative to a planned or proposed airport. Specifically, this commenter seeks to incorporate the imaginary surfaces for evaluating obstructions under § 77.19(a) in the notice requirements for structures that are on or around a planned airport.

Section 77.9 requires notice for construction on an existing airport or an airport under construction. This section specifies an imaginary surface extending from the runway (in increments of 20,000 feet, 10,000 ft., or 5,000 ft., depending on the length of the airport's runway or heliport) at a specific slope for which notice is required if it would penetrate one of the surfaces for either an existing airport or an airport under construction. The above referenced surfaces, for which the longest surface would extend approximately 3.78 miles from the end of the runway, do not apply to a planned airport for which construction has yet to begin.

The effect of this commenter's request would be to require notice for up to approximately 3.5 miles (for the longest runway) for any construction that penetrates the 100 to 1 surface for a planned or proposed airport.

This comment is outside the scope of the NPRM. The essence of this comment would be a new notice requirement for planned or proposed airports. To accommodate this comment without providing the public an opportunity to comment on its impact would violate the Administrative Procedure Act.

Notwithstanding the above scope issue, to apply the imaginary surface from the notice requirements to planned or proposed airports would be difficult to implement. A planned or proposed airport can be at varying stages of development, with runway(s) location and configuration undetermined, navigational aids not sited, and instrument approach and departure procedures yet to be developed. It would be impossible for the FAA to study (and apply the obstruction standards) with any degree of certainty, to a proposed structure when the above listed airport issues are not defined. In addition, airport development can be subject to environmental laws and lengthy processes with alternative plans that must be analyzed. The FAA cannot "reserve" airspace on such speculative plans. The agency does study the impact of structures that are identified as obstructions on planned or proposed airports that are on file with the FAA. As the details of a planned airport become part of the "plan on file" with the FAA or the Airport Layout Plan, on which the FAA can rely, the FAA includes those details during the study.

Several commenters questioned the proposed removal of the regulatory provisions addressing antenna farms and whether any antenna farms currently exist. The FAA has not established any antenna farm area. Moreover, the regulations governing structures addresses the FAA needs

here. Thus, this rule removes the provisions governing antenna farms.

One commenter questioned why an object that is shielded by another structure is not subject to the notice requirements. This commenter contends that if the structure that shields an unreported structure is dismantled, there is no record of the first structure, nor is there any requirement to notify the FAA of this structure if the shielding structure is dismantled.

Section 77.15(a) provides that notice is not required for a structure if the shielding structure is of a substantial and permanent nature and is located in a congested area of a city, town, or settlement where the shielded structure will not adversely affect safety in air navigation. This exception does not apply in areas where there are only one or two other structures. The FAA has not experienced a situation like the one described by the commenter that can be attributed to this exception. This rule does expand the current supplemental notice requirements in § 77.11, and specifies that if a construction or alteration is abandoned, dismantled, or destroyed, notice must be provided to the FAA within 5 days after the construction is abandoned, dismantled, or destroyed. In the rare case where a shielding structure is abandoned, dismantled, or destroyed, the proponent must notify the FAA so that appropriate actions concerning adjacent structures can be initiated.

Prior to this rule, part 77 provided that a proposed or existing structure was an obstruction to air navigation if it was higher than 500 ft. above ground level (AGL). The minimum altitude to operate an aircraft over non-congested areas is 500 feet above the surface.<sup>6</sup> Consequently, an aircraft could be operating at 500 ft. AGL and encounter a structure that was 500 ft. AGL that might not have been studied by the FAA during the obstacle evaluation process. The FAA adopts the proposal that lowers the height of a structure identified as an obstruction from above 500 ft. to above 499 ft. Accordingly, all structures that are above 499 ft. tall will be obstructions, and the FAA will study them to determine their effect on the navigable airspace. This will ensure that all usable airspace at and above 500 ft. AGL is addressed during the aeronautical study and that this airspace

<sup>6</sup> 14 CFR Section 91.119(c) provides that "Except when necessary for takeoff and landing, no person may operate an aircraft below the following altitudes: (b) Over other than congested areas. An altitude of 500 feet above the surface except over open water or sparsely populated areas. In those cases, the aircraft may not be operated closer than 500 feet to any person, vessel, vehicle, or structure."

is protected from obstructions that may create a hazard to air navigation.

### III. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3507(d)) requires that the FAA consider the impact of paperwork and other information collection burdens imposed on the public. According to the 1995 amendments to the Paperwork Reduction Act (5 CFR 1320.8(b)(2)(vi)), an agency may not collect or sponsor the collection of information, nor may it impose an information collection requirement unless it displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3507(d)), the FAA submitted a copy of the new information collection requirements(s) discussed below to OMB for its review. Notice of OMB approval for this information collection will be published in a future **Federal Register** document.

Title 49 U.S.C. 44718 states, "By regulation or by order when necessary, the Secretary of Transportation shall require a person to give adequate public notice, in the form and way the Secretary prescribes, of the construction, alteration, establishment, or expansion, of a structure or sanitary landfill when public notice will promote:

- (1) safety in air commerce; and
- (2) the efficient use and preservation of the navigable airspace and of airport traffic capacity at public use airports."

This final rule implements the requirement for notification by requiring that notice be submitted to the FAA for proposed construction or alteration of structures on or near private use airports that have an IAP. Accordingly, if a private use airport has an FAA-approved IAP, then a construction sponsor is required to notify the FAA of a proposed construction or alteration that exceeds the notice criteria in § 77.17. This action will give the FAA adequate time to adjust the IAP, if needed, and to inform those who use the IAP. While IAPs at private use airports or heliports are not currently listed in any aeronautical publication, sponsors of construction or alteration at or near a private use airport or heliport can consult the FAA Web site<sup>7</sup> to determine whether an FAA-approved IAP is listed for that airport. If the airport is listed on the Web site, the sponsor must file notice with the FAA. The intent of these changes is to

<sup>7</sup> <https://oeaaa.faa.gov>.

improve safety and promote the efficient use of the National Airspace System.

The FAA estimates that on average, 3,325 Form 7460-1s would be filed annually. It is estimated to take 19 minutes, or 0.32 hours, to fill out each form. Hence, the estimated hour burden is: 0.32 hours × 3,325 = 1,064 hours.

The average cost for a firm to prepare the form itself is approximately \$40 per form. It is estimated that 20 percent of the forms filed would be filed this way. Thus, the estimated average annual reporting burden for companies to process this form in-house would be: (FAA Form 7460-1) \$40 × 665 = \$26,600.

The average cost for a company to outsource this function to a contractor is approximately \$480 per report. It is estimated that 80 percent of the forms filed would be filed this way. Thus, the estimated average annual reporting burden for companies to outsource this function is: (FAA Form 7460-1) \$480 × 2,660 = \$1,276,800.

It is estimated that roughly 30 percent of firms filing FAA Form 7460-1 will need to perform a site survey to complete the form. The cost of a site survey is \$790. Thus, the estimated annual reporting burden for companies who require a site survey would be: (FAA Form 7460-1) \$790 × 998 = \$788,420.

Hence, the total annual cost to firms that fill out FAA Form 7460-1 is \$2,091,820.

In the proposed rule, the FAA asked for comments on the information collection burden. You may view the FAA's specific request in the proposed rule.<sup>8</sup> The FAA received comments from multiple commenters. The following is a summary of the comments with the FAA's response:

Several commenters stated that the FAA underestimated the costs, in terms of time and paperwork, associated with preparing a Form 7460-1, as well as the costs of filing an OE notice, so the FAA should revise its estimates. One commenter surveyed its members and the survey indicated that the cost of processing a Form 7460-1 in-house was \$406 and took about 1.6 hours per form. Further, the average hourly labor cost was found to be \$36 per hour. The commenter also stated that in addition to maps, a site survey is needed to complete Form 7460-1, which ensures the accuracy of the location and costs an average of \$768. Another commenter supported the notion of including the cost of a site survey in the cost estimation for filing a Form 7460-1. Another commenter suggested that the

<sup>8</sup> 71 FR 34028; June 13, 2006.

FAA increase its estimate for processing a Form 7460–1 in-house to \$40.

The FAA omitted the cost of a site survey in the preliminary analysis because a site survey is not required to complete a Form 7460–1. However, a site survey must be completed if it is requested by the FAA's Flight Procedure Office. The agency has revised the cost analysis to reflect the wider range of costs as supplied by the commenters. The FAA also revised its cost and paperwork analyses to include the cost of filing a form in-house, as well as the costs of a site survey.

A few commenters claimed that the FAA underestimated the time and paperwork costs associated with filing additional notices. Another commenter believed that the FAA underestimated the paperwork burden that will be placed on radio spectrum users.

The FAA completed a paperwork reduction package for the proposed rule, which did show the estimated paperwork costs. The paperwork costs were also shown in the initial regulatory evaluation and were available for review in the docket. However, the FAA has elected not to adopt the radio frequency notice requirements in this final rule. As a result, there will be no additional paperwork burden placed on radio spectrum users at this time.

A commenter stated that requiring applicants to provide notice to the FAA 60 days in advance could also increase the number of filings because of the rule change. Another commenter stated that extending the notice period for all proposed projects will cause undue delay in securing FAA approval and will delay the ability of utilities to develop new sites.

The FAA has reduced the filing time period from 60 days to 45 days. This should mitigate the delay expected by the commenters and allow them to continue their operations without much change. Thus, the FAA does not expect any delays in construction or operational deficiencies resulting from the final rule.

#### *International Compatibility*

In keeping with U.S. obligations under the Convention on International Civil Aviation, it is FAA policy to comply with International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) Standards and Recommended Practices to the maximum extent practicable. The FAA has reviewed the corresponding ICAO Standards and Recommended Practices and has identified no new differences with these proposed regulations.

#### **IV. Regulatory Evaluation, Regulatory Flexibility Determination, International Trade Impact Assessment, and Unfunded Mandates Assessment**

Changes to Federal regulations must undergo several economic analyses. First, Executive Order 12866 directs that each Federal agency shall propose or adopt a regulation only upon a reasoned determination that the benefits of the intended regulation justify its costs. Second, the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (Pub. L. 96–354) requires agencies to analyze the economic impact of regulatory changes on small entities. Third, the Trade Agreements Act (Pub. L. 96–39) prohibits agencies from setting standards that create unnecessary obstacles to the foreign commerce of the United States. In developing U.S. standards, this Trade Act requires agencies to consider international standards and, where appropriate, that they be the basis of U.S. standards. Fourth, the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4) requires agencies to prepare a written assessment of the costs, benefits, and other effects of proposed or final rules that include a Federal mandate likely to result in the expenditure by state, local, or tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more annually (adjusted for inflation with base year of 1995). This portion of the preamble summarizes the FAA's analysis of the economic impacts of this final rule. Readers seeking greater detail should read the full regulatory evaluation, a copy of which is in the docket for this rulemaking.

In conducting these analyses, the FAA has determined that this final rule has benefits that justify its costs and is not economically significant under Executive Order 12866; however, it is otherwise "significant" because of concerns raised by the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) and the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) regarding the FAA's evaluation of potential electromagnetic effect during aeronautical studies. The final rule, if adopted, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities, will not create unnecessary obstacles to international trade, and will not impose an unfunded mandate on state, local, tribal governments, or on the private sector.

This final rule amends 14 CFR part 77. These amendments refer to the rules for obstruction evaluation standards, aeronautical studies, and notice provisions about objects that could create hazards to air navigation.

The FAA estimates the cost of this final rule to private industry will be approximately \$20.9 million (\$14.1 million, present value) over the next 10 years. The estimated cost of the final rule to the FAA will be approximately \$18.7 million (\$12.6 million, present value) over the next 10 years. Therefore, the total cost associated with the final rule will be approximately \$39.6 million (\$26.8 million, present value) over the next 10 years.

The final rule will enhance protection of aircraft approaches from unknown obstructions and unknown alteration projects on or near private use airports with FAA-approved instrument approach procedures (IAPs). The FAA contends that these qualitative benefits justify the costs of the final rule.

#### *Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 establishes "as a principle of regulatory issuance that agencies shall endeavor, consistent with the objective of the rule and of applicable statutes, to fit regulatory and informational requirements to the scale of the business, organizations, and governmental jurisdictions subject to regulation." To achieve that principle, the Act requires agencies to solicit and consider flexible regulatory proposals and to explain the rationale for their actions. The Act covers a wide range of small entities, including small businesses, not-for-profit organizations and small governmental jurisdictions.

Agencies must perform a review to determine whether a proposed or final rule will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. If the determination is that it will, the agency must prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis (RFA) as described in the Act.

However, if an agency determines that a proposed or final rule is not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities, section 605(b) of the 1980 Act provides that the head of the agency may so certify and an RFA is not required. The certification must include a statement providing the factual basis for this determination, and the reasoning should be clear.

While the FAA does not maintain data on the size of businesses that file notices, the FAA estimates that approximately 40 percent of the OE notices will be filed by small businesses (comprised of business owners and private use airport owners) as defined by the Small Business Administration. Thus, in 2010 when the rule is expected to take effect, the FAA expects approximately 2,400 more OE notices

will be filed by affected parties. Of those applications filed, approximately 960 notices are estimated to be filed by small businesses (using 40 percent assumption).

For those small businesses that are inexperienced in submitting the necessary paperwork, the FAA believes they would either hire a consultant or spend as much as the consultant fee (\$480) in staff time to understand, research, complete, and submit the form(s). For the purpose of this regulatory flexibility assessment, the FAA assumes that it will cost all small entities approximately \$480 per case to meet the requirements of part 77.

It is unlikely that any individual small entity will file more than three OE notices in a calendar year. As a result, the FAA estimates that in virtually all cases, the cost of this rule to small businesses will not exceed \$1500 per small entity, a cost the FAA does not consider significant. Therefore, as the FAA Administrator, I certify that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### *International Trade Impact Assessment*

The Trade Agreements Act of 1979 (Pub. L. 96–39), as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (Pub. L. 103–465), prohibits Federal agencies from establishing standards or engaging in related activities that create unnecessary obstacles to the foreign commerce of the United States. Pursuant to these Acts, the establishment of standards is not considered an unnecessary obstacle to the foreign commerce of the United States, so long as the standard has a legitimate domestic objective, such as the protection of safety, and does not operate in a manner that excludes imports that meet this objective. The statute also requires consideration of international standards and, where appropriate, that they be the basis for U.S. standards. The FAA has assessed the potential effect of this final rule and determined that it will have only a domestic impact and, therefore, will not create unnecessary obstacles to the foreign commerce of the United States.

#### *Unfunded Mandates Assessment*

Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4) requires each Federal agency to prepare a written statement assessing the effects of any Federal mandate in a proposed or final agency rule that may result in an expenditure of \$100 million or more (in 1995 dollars) in any one year by state, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector; such

a mandate is deemed to be a “significant regulatory action.” The FAA currently uses an inflation-adjusted value of \$136.1 million in lieu of \$100 million. This final rule does not contain such a mandate; therefore, the requirements of Title II of the Act do not apply.

#### *Executive Order 13132, Federalism*

The FAA has analyzed this final rule under the principles and criteria of Executive Order 13132, Federalism. The FAA determined that this action will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, or the relationship between the Federal Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, and, therefore, does not have federalism implications.

#### *Environmental Analysis*

FAA Order 1050.1E identifies FAA actions that are categorically excluded from preparation of an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement under the National Environmental Policy Act in the absence of extraordinary circumstances. The FAA has determined this rulemaking action qualifies for the categorical exclusion identified in paragraph 312f and involves no extraordinary circumstances.

#### *Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use*

The FAA has analyzed this final rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations that Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use (May 18, 2001). We have determined that it is not a “significant energy action” under the executive order because it is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866, and it is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy.

#### *Availability of Rulemaking Documents*

You can get an electronic copy of rulemaking documents using the Internet by—

1. Searching the Federal eRulemaking Portal (<http://www.regulations.gov>);
2. Visiting the FAA’s Regulations and Policies Web page at [http://www.faa.gov/regulations\\_policies/](http://www.faa.gov/regulations_policies/); or
3. Accessing the Government Printing Office’s Web page at <http://www.gpoaccess.gov/fr/index.html>.

You can also get a copy by sending a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Rulemaking, ARM–1, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591, or by calling (202) 267–9680. Make sure to

identify the amendment number or docket number of this rulemaking.

Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT’s complete Privacy Act statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477–78) or you may visit <http://DocketsInfo.dot.gov>.

#### *Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act*

The Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act (SBREFA) of 1996 requires FAA to comply with small entity requests for information or advice about compliance with statutes and regulations within its jurisdiction. If you are a small entity and you have a question regarding this document, you may contact your local FAA official, or the person listed under the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** heading at the beginning of the preamble. You can find out more about SBREFA on the Internet at [http://www.faa.gov/regulations\\_policies/rulemaking/sbre\\_act/](http://www.faa.gov/regulations_policies/rulemaking/sbre_act/).

#### **Appendix A to the Preamble**

Under regulations (49 U.S.C. 44718) prescribed by the Secretary, if the Secretary decides that constructing or altering a structure may result in an obstruction of the navigable airspace or an interference with air navigation facilities and equipment or the navigable airspace, the Secretary shall conduct an aeronautical study to decide the extent of any adverse impact on the safe and efficient use of the airspace, facilities, or equipment. In conducting the study, the Secretary shall consider factors relevant to the efficient and effective use of the navigable airspace, including—

- (A) The impact on arrival, departure, and en route procedures for aircraft operating under visual flight rules;
- (B) The impact on arrival, departure, and en route procedures for aircraft operating under instrument flight rules;
- (C) The impact on existing public use airports and aeronautical facilities;
- (D) The impact on planned public use airports and aeronautical facilities; and
- (E) The cumulative impact resulting from the proposed construction or alteration of a structure when combined with the impact of other existing or proposed structures.

#### **Appendix B to the Preamble**

The NPRM proposed that notice must be filed with the FAA for any construction of a new, or modification of an existing facility, *i.e.*—building, antenna structure, or any other man-made structure, which supports a radiating element(s) for the purpose of radio frequency transmissions operating on the following frequencies:

- (i) 54–108 MHz
- (ii) 150–216 MHz
- (iii) 406–430 MHz
- (iv) 931–940 MHz
- (v) 952–960 MHz
- (vi) 1390–1400 MHz
- (vii) 2500–2700 MHz
- (viii) 3700–4200 MHz
- (ix) 5000–5650 MHz
- (x) 5925–6525 MHz
- (xi) 7450–8550 MHz
- (xii) 14.2–14.4 GHz
- (xiii) 21.2–23.6 GHz

In addition, the NPRM proposed that any changes or modification to a system operating on one of the previously mentioned frequencies when specified in the original FAA determination, including:

- (i) Change in the authorized frequency;
- (ii) Addition of new frequencies;
- (iii) Increase in effective radiated power (ERP) equal or greater than 3 decibels;
- (iv) modification of radiating elements, including: (A) Antenna mounting locations(s) if increased 100 feet or more irrespective of whether the overall height is increased; (B) changes in antenna specification (including gain, beam-width, polarization, pattern); and (C) change in antenna azimuth/bearing (e.g. point-to-point microwave systems).

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 77

Administrative practice and procedure, Airports, Airspace, Aviation safety, Navigation (air), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

#### V. The Amendment

■ In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends Chapter I of title 14, Code of Federal Regulations by revising part 77 to read as follows:

### PART 77—SAFE, EFFICIENT USE, AND PRESERVATION OF THE NAVIGABLE AIRSPACE

#### Subpart A—General

- Sec.
- 77.1 Purpose.
- 77.3 Definitions.

#### Subpart B—Notice Requirements

- 77.5 Applicability.
- 77.7 Form and time of notice.
- 77.9 Construction or alteration requiring notice.
- 77.11 Supplemental notice requirements.

#### Subpart C—Standards for Determining Obstructions to Air Navigation or Navigational Aids or Facilities

- 77.13 Applicability.
- 77.15 Scope.
- 77.17 Obstruction standards.
- 77.19 Civil airport imaginary surfaces.
- 77.21 Department of Defense (DOD) airport imaginary surfaces.
- 77.23 Heliport imaginary surfaces.

#### Subpart D—Aeronautical Studies and Determinations

- 77.25 Applicability.

- 77.27 Initiation of studies.
- 77.29 Evaluating aeronautical effect.
- 77.31 Determinations.
- 77.33 Effective period of determinations.
- 77.35 Extensions, terminations, revisions and corrections.

#### Subpart E—Petitions for Discretionary Review

- 77.37 General.
- 77.39 Contents of a petition.
- 77.41 Discretionary review results.

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106 (g), 40103, 40113–40114, 44502, 44701, 44718, 46101–46102, 46104.

#### Subpart A—General

##### § 77.1 Purpose.

This part establishes:

- (a) The requirements to provide notice to the FAA of certain proposed construction, or the alteration of existing structures;
- (b) The standards used to determine obstructions to air navigation, and navigational and communication facilities;
- (c) The process for aeronautical studies of obstructions to air navigation or navigational facilities to determine the effect on the safe and efficient use of navigable airspace, air navigation facilities or equipment; and
- (d) The process to petition the FAA for discretionary review of determinations, revisions, and extensions of determinations.

##### § 77.3 Definitions.

For the purpose of this part:  
*Non-precision instrument runway* means a runway having an existing instrument approach procedure utilizing air navigation facilities with only horizontal guidance, or area type navigation equipment, for which a straight-in non-precision instrument approach procedure has been approved, or planned, and for which no precision approach facilities are planned, or indicated on an FAA planning document or military service military airport planning document.

*Planned or proposed airport* is an airport that is the subject of at least one of the following documents received by the FAA:

- (1) Airport proposals submitted under 14 CFR part 157.
- (2) Airport Improvement Program requests for aid.
- (3) Notices of existing airports where prior notice of the airport construction or alteration was not provided as required by 14 CFR part 157.
- (4) Airport layout plans.
- (5) DOD proposals for airports used only by the U.S. Armed Forces.
- (6) DOD proposals on joint-use (civil-military) airports.

(7) Completed airport site selection feasibility study.

*Precision instrument runway* means a runway having an existing instrument approach procedure utilizing an Instrument Landing System (ILS), or a Precision Approach Radar (PAR). It also means a runway for which a precision approach system is planned and is so indicated by an FAA-approved airport layout plan; a military service approved military airport layout plan; any other FAA planning document, or military service military airport planning document.

*Public use airport* is an airport available for use by the general public without a requirement for prior approval of the airport owner or operator.

*Seaplane base* is considered to be an airport only if its sea lanes are outlined by visual markers.

*Utility runway* means a runway that is constructed for and intended to be used by propeller driven aircraft of 12,500 pounds maximum gross weight and less.

*Visual runway* means a runway intended solely for the operation of aircraft using visual approach procedures, with no straight-in instrument approach procedure and no instrument designation indicated on an FAA-approved airport layout plan, a military service approved military airport layout plan, or by any planning document submitted to the FAA by competent authority.

#### Subpart B—Notice Requirements

##### § 77.5 Applicability.

- (a) If you propose any construction or alteration described in § 77.9, you must provide adequate notice to the FAA of that construction or alteration.
- (b) If requested by the FAA, you must also file supplemental notice before the start date and upon completion of certain construction or alterations that are described in § 77.9.
- (c) Notice received by the FAA under this subpart is used to:

(1) Evaluate the effect of the proposed construction or alteration on safety in air commerce and the efficient use and preservation of the navigable airspace and of airport traffic capacity at public use airports;

(2) Determine whether the effect of proposed construction or alteration is a hazard to air navigation;

(3) Determine appropriate marking and lighting recommendations, using FAA Advisory Circular 70/7460–1, Obstruction Marking and Lighting;

(4) Determine other appropriate measures to be applied for continued safety of air navigation; and



(5) Notify the aviation community of the construction or alteration of objects that affect the navigable airspace, including the revision of charts, when necessary.

**§ 77.7 Form and time of notice.**

(a) If you are required to file notice under § 77.9, you must submit to the FAA a completed FAA Form 7460–1, Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration. FAA Form 7460–1 is available at FAA regional offices and on the Internet.

(b) You must submit this form at least 45 days before the start date of the proposed construction or alteration or the date an application for a construction permit is filed, whichever is earliest.

(c) If you propose construction or alteration that is also subject to the licensing requirements of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), you must submit notice to the FAA on or before the date that the application is filed with the FCC.

(d) If you propose construction or alteration to an existing structure that exceeds 2,000 ft. in height above ground level (AGL), the FAA presumes it to be a hazard to air navigation that results in an inefficient use of airspace. You must include details explaining both why the proposal would not constitute a hazard to air navigation and why it would not cause an inefficient use of airspace.

(e) The 45-day advance notice requirement is waived if immediate construction or alteration is required because of an emergency involving essential public services, public health, or public safety. You may provide notice to the FAA by any available, expeditious means. You must file a completed FAA Form 7460–1 within 5 days of the initial notice to the FAA. Outside normal business hours, the nearest flight service station will accept emergency notices.

**§ 77.9 Construction or alteration requiring notice.**

If requested by the FAA, or if you propose any of the following types of construction or alteration, you must file notice with the FAA of:

(a) Any construction or alteration that is more than 200 ft. AGL at its site.

(b) Any construction or alteration that exceeds an imaginary surface extending outward and upward at any of the following slopes:

(1) 100 to 1 for a horizontal distance of 20,000 ft. from the nearest point of the nearest runway of each airport described in paragraph (d) of this section with its longest runway more than 3,200 ft. in actual length, excluding heliports.

(2) 50 to 1 for a horizontal distance of 10,000 ft. from the nearest point of the nearest runway of each airport described in paragraph (d) of this section with its longest runway no more than 3,200 ft. in actual length, excluding heliports.

(3) 25 to 1 for a horizontal distance of 5,000 ft. from the nearest point of the nearest landing and takeoff area of each heliport described in paragraph (d) of this section.

(c) Any highway, railroad, or other traverse way for mobile objects, of a height which, if adjusted upward 17 feet for an Interstate Highway that is part of the National System of Military and Interstate Highways where overcrossings are designed for a minimum of 17 feet vertical distance, 15 feet for any other public roadway, 10 feet or the height of the highest mobile object that would normally traverse the road, whichever is greater, for a private road, 23 feet for a railroad, and for a waterway or any other traverse way not previously mentioned, an amount equal to the height of the highest mobile object that would normally traverse it, would exceed a standard of paragraph (a) or (b) of this section.

(d) Any construction or alteration on any of the following airports and heliports:

(1) A public use airport listed in the Airport/Facility Directory, Alaska Supplement, or Pacific Chart Supplement of the U.S. Government Flight Information Publications;

(2) A military airport under construction, or an airport under construction that will be available for public use;

(3) An airport operated by a Federal agency or the DOD.

(4) An airport or heliport with at least one FAA-approved instrument approach procedure.

(e) You do not need to file notice for construction or alteration of:

(1) Any object that will be shielded by existing structures of a permanent and substantial nature or by natural terrain or topographic features of equal or greater height, and will be located in the congested area of a city, town, or settlement where the shielded structure will not adversely affect safety in air navigation;

(2) Any air navigation facility, airport visual approach or landing aid, aircraft arresting device, or meteorological device meeting FAA-approved siting criteria or an appropriate military service siting criteria on military airports, the location and height of which are fixed by its functional purpose;

(3) Any construction or alteration for which notice is required by any other FAA regulation.

(4) Any antenna structure of 20 feet or less in height, except one that would increase the height of another antenna structure.

**§ 77.11 Supplemental notice requirements.**

(a) You must file supplemental notice with the FAA when:

(1) The construction or alteration is more than 200 feet in height AGL at its site; or

(2) Requested by the FAA.

(b) You must file supplemental notice on a prescribed FAA form to be received within the time limits specified in the FAA determination. If no time limit has been specified, you must submit supplemental notice of construction to the FAA within 5 days after the structure reaches its greatest height.

(c) If you abandon a construction or alteration proposal that requires supplemental notice, you must submit notice to the FAA within 5 days after the project is abandoned.

(d) If the construction or alteration is dismantled or destroyed, you must submit notice to the FAA within 5 days after the construction or alteration is dismantled or destroyed.

**Subpart C—Standards for Determining Obstructions to Air Navigation or Navigational Aids or Facilities**

**§ 77.13 Applicability.**

This subpart describes the standards used for determining obstructions to air navigation, navigational aids, or navigational facilities. These standards apply to the following:

(a) Any object of natural growth, terrain, or permanent or temporary construction or alteration, including equipment or materials used and any permanent or temporary apparatus.

(b) The alteration of any permanent or temporary existing structure by a change in its height, including appurtenances, or lateral dimensions, including equipment or material used therein.

**§ 77.15 Scope.**

(a) This subpart describes standards used to determine obstructions to air navigation that may affect the safe and efficient use of navigable airspace and the operation of planned or existing air navigation and communication facilities. Such facilities include air navigation aids, communication equipment, airports, Federal airways, instrument approach or departure procedures, and approved off-airway routes.

(b) Objects that are considered obstructions under the standards

described in this subpart are presumed hazards to air navigation unless further aeronautical study concludes that the object is not a hazard. Once further aeronautical study has been initiated, the FAA will use the standards in this subpart, along with FAA policy and guidance material, to determine if the object is a hazard to air navigation.

(c) The FAA will apply these standards with reference to an existing airport facility, and airport proposals received by the FAA, or the appropriate military service, before it issues a final determination.

(d) For airports having defined runways with specially prepared hard surfaces, the primary surface for each runway extends 200 feet beyond each end of the runway. For airports having defined strips or pathways used regularly for aircraft takeoffs and landings, and designated runways, without specially prepared hard surfaces, each end of the primary surface for each such runway shall coincide with the corresponding end of the runway. At airports, excluding seaplane bases, having a defined landing and takeoff area with no defined pathways for aircraft takeoffs and landings, a determination must be made as to which portions of the landing and takeoff area are regularly used as landing and takeoff pathways. Those determined pathways must be considered runways, and an appropriate primary surface as defined in § 77.19 will be considered as longitudinally centered on each such runway. Each end of that primary surface must coincide with the corresponding end of that runway.

(e) The standards in this subpart apply to construction or alteration proposals on an airport (including heliports and seaplane bases with marked lanes) if that airport is one of the following before the issuance of the final determination:

(1) Available for public use and is listed in the Airport/Facility Directory, Supplement Alaska, or Supplement Pacific of the U.S. Government Flight Information Publications; or

(2) A planned or proposed airport or an airport under construction of which the FAA has received actual notice, except DOD airports, where there is a clear indication the airport will be available for public use; or,

(3) An airport operated by a Federal agency or the DOD; or,

(4) An airport that has at least one FAA-approved instrument approach.

#### § 77.17 Obstruction standards.

(a) An existing object, including a mobile object, is, and a future object

would be an obstruction to air navigation if it is of greater height than any of the following heights or surfaces:

(1) A height of 499 feet AGL at the site of the object.

(2) A height that is 200 feet AGL, or above the established airport elevation, whichever is higher, within 3 nautical miles of the established reference point of an airport, excluding heliports, with its longest runway more than 3,200 feet in actual length, and that height increases in the proportion of 100 feet for each additional nautical mile from the airport up to a maximum of 499 feet.

(3) A height within a terminal obstacle clearance area, including an initial approach segment, a departure area, and a circling approach area, which would result in the vertical distance between any point on the object and an established minimum instrument flight altitude within that area or segment to be less than the required obstacle clearance.

(4) A height within an en route obstacle clearance area, including turn and termination areas, of a Federal Airway or approved off-airway route, that would increase the minimum obstacle clearance altitude.

(5) The surface of a takeoff and landing area of an airport or any imaginary surface established under § 77.19, 77.21, or 77.23. However, no part of the takeoff or landing area itself will be considered an obstruction.

(b) Except for traverse ways on or near an airport with an operative ground traffic control service furnished by an airport traffic control tower or by the airport management and coordinated with the air traffic control service, the standards of paragraph (a) of this section apply to traverse ways used or to be used for the passage of mobile objects only after the heights of these traverse ways are increased by:

(1) 17 feet for an Interstate Highway that is part of the National System of Military and Interstate Highways where overcrossings are designed for a minimum of 17 feet vertical distance.

(2) 15 feet for any other public roadway.

(3) 10 feet or the height of the highest mobile object that would normally traverse the road, whichever is greater, for a private road.

(4) 23 feet for a railroad.

(5) For a waterway or any other traverse way not previously mentioned, an amount equal to the height of the highest mobile object that would normally traverse it.

#### § 77.19 Civil airport imaginary surfaces.

The following civil airport imaginary surfaces are established with relation to

the airport and to each runway. The size of each such imaginary surface is based on the category of each runway according to the type of approach available or planned for that runway. The slope and dimensions of the approach surface applied to each end of a runway are determined by the most precise approach procedure existing or planned for that runway end.

(a) *Horizontal surface.* A horizontal plane 150 feet above the established airport elevation, the perimeter of which is constructed by SW.ing arcs of a specified radii from the center of each end of the primary surface of each runway of each airport and connecting the adjacent arcs by lines tangent to those arcs. The radius of each arc is:

(1) 5,000 feet for all runways designated as utility or visual;

(2) 10,000 feet for all other runways. The radius of the arc specified for each end of a runway will have the same arithmetical value. That value will be the highest determined for either end of the runway. When a 5,000-foot arc is encompassed by tangents connecting two adjacent 10,000-foot arcs, the 5,000-foot arc shall be disregarded on the construction of the perimeter of the horizontal surface.

(b) *Conical surface.* A surface extending outward and upward from the periphery of the horizontal surface at a slope of 20 to 1 for a horizontal distance of 4,000 feet.

(c) *Primary surface.* A surface longitudinally centered on a runway. When the runway has a specially prepared hard surface, the primary surface extends 200 feet beyond each end of that runway; but when the runway has no specially prepared hard surface, the primary surface ends at each end of that runway. The elevation of any point on the primary surface is the same as the elevation of the nearest point on the runway centerline. The width of the primary surface is:

(1) 250 feet for utility runways having only visual approaches.

(2) 500 feet for utility runways having non-precision instrument approaches.

(3) For other than utility runways, the width is:

(i) 500 feet for visual runways having only visual approaches.

(ii) 500 feet for non-precision instrument runways having visibility minimums greater than three-fourths statute mile.

(iii) 1,000 feet for a non-precision instrument runway having a non-precision instrument approach with visibility minimums as low as three-fourths of a statute mile, and for precision instrument runways.

(iv) The width of the primary surface of a runway will be that width prescribed in this section for the most precise approach existing or planned for either end of that runway.

(d) *Approach surface.* A surface longitudinally centered on the extended runway centerline and extending outward and upward from each end of the primary surface. An approach surface is applied to each end of each runway based upon the type of approach available or planned for that runway end.

(1) The inner edge of the approach surface is the same width as the primary surface and it expands uniformly to a width of:

(i) 1,250 feet for that end of a utility runway with only visual approaches;

(ii) 1,500 feet for that end of a runway other than a utility runway with only visual approaches;

(iii) 2,000 feet for that end of a utility runway with a non-precision instrument approach;

(iv) 3,500 feet for that end of a non-precision instrument runway other than utility, having visibility minimums greater than three-fourths of a statute mile;

(v) 4,000 feet for that end of a non-precision instrument runway, other than utility, having a non-precision instrument approach with visibility minimums as low as three-fourths statute mile; and

(vi) 16,000 feet for precision instrument runways.

(2) The approach surface extends for a horizontal distance of:

(i) 5,000 feet at a slope of 20 to 1 for all utility and visual runways;

(ii) 10,000 feet at a slope of 34 to 1 for all non-precision instrument runways other than utility; and

(iii) 10,000 feet at a slope of 50 to 1 with an additional 40,000 feet at a slope of 40 to 1 for all precision instrument runways.

(3) The outer width of an approach surface to an end of a runway will be that width prescribed in this subsection for the most precise approach existing or planned for that runway end.

(e) *Transitional surface.* These surfaces extend outward and upward at right angles to the runway centerline and the runway centerline extended at a slope of 7 to 1 from the sides of the primary surface and from the sides of the approach surfaces. Transitional surfaces for those portions of the precision approach surface which project through and beyond the limits of the conical surface, extend a distance of 5,000 feet measured horizontally from the edge of the approach surface and at right angles to the runway centerline.

#### **§ 77.21 Department of Defense (DOD) airport imaginary surfaces.**

(a) *Related to airport reference points.* These surfaces apply to all military airports. For the purposes of this section, a military airport is any airport operated by the DOD.

(1) *Inner horizontal surface.* A plane that is oval in shape at a height of 150 feet above the established airfield elevation. The plane is constructed by scribing an arc with a radius of 7,500 feet about the centerline at the end of each runway and interconnecting these arcs with tangents.

(2) *Conical surface.* A surface extending from the periphery of the inner horizontal surface outward and upward at a slope of 20 to 1 for a horizontal distance of 7,000 feet to a height of 500 feet above the established airfield elevation.

(3) *Outer horizontal surface.* A plane, located 500 feet above the established airfield elevation, extending outward from the outer periphery of the conical surface for a horizontal distance of 30,000 feet.

(b) *Related to runways.* These surfaces apply to all military airports.

(1) *Primary surface.* A surface located on the ground or water longitudinally centered on each runway with the same length as the runway. The width of the primary surface for runways is 2,000 feet. However, at established bases where substantial construction has taken place in accordance with a previous lateral clearance criteria, the 2,000-foot width may be reduced to the former criteria.

(2) *Clear zone surface.* A surface located on the ground or water at each end of the primary surface, with a length of 1,000 feet and the same width as the primary surface.

(3) *Approach clearance surface.* An inclined plane, symmetrical about the runway centerline extended, beginning 200 feet beyond each end of the primary surface at the centerline elevation of the runway end and extending for 50,000 feet. The slope of the approach clearance surface is 50 to 1 along the runway centerline extended until it reaches an elevation of 500 feet above the established airport elevation. It then continues horizontally at this elevation to a point 50,000 feet from the point of beginning. The width of this surface at the runway end is the same as the primary surface, it flares uniformly, and the width at 50,000 is 16,000 feet.

(4) *Transitional surfaces.* These surfaces connect the primary surfaces, the first 200 feet of the clear zone surfaces, and the approach clearance surfaces to the inner horizontal surface, conical surface, outer horizontal surface

or other transitional surfaces. The slope of the transitional surface is 7 to 1 outward and upward at right angles to the runway centerline.

#### **§ 77.23 Heliport imaginary surfaces.**

(a) *Primary surface.* The area of the primary surface coincides in size and shape with the designated take-off and landing area. This surface is a horizontal plane at the elevation of the established heliport elevation.

(b) *Approach surface.* The approach surface begins at each end of the heliport primary surface with the same width as the primary surface, and extends outward and upward for a horizontal distance of 4,000 feet where its width is 500 feet. The slope of the approach surface is 8 to 1 for civil heliports and 10 to 1 for military heliports.

(c) *Transitional surfaces.* These surfaces extend outward and upward from the lateral boundaries of the primary surface and from the approach surfaces at a slope of 2 to 1 for a distance of 250 feet measured horizontally from the centerline of the primary and approach surfaces.

### **Subpart D—Aeronautical Studies and Determinations**

#### **§ 77.25 Applicability.**

(a) This subpart applies to any aeronautical study of a proposed construction or alteration for which notice to the FAA is required under § 77.9.

(b) The purpose of an aeronautical study is to determine whether the aeronautical effects of the specific proposal and, where appropriate, the cumulative impact resulting from the proposed construction or alteration when combined with the effects of other existing or proposed structures, would constitute a hazard to air navigation.

(c) The obstruction standards in subpart C of this part are supplemented by other manuals and directives used in determining the effect on the navigable airspace of a proposed construction or alteration. When the FAA needs additional information, it may circulate a study to interested parties for comment.

#### **§ 77.27 Initiation of studies.**

The FAA will conduct an aeronautical study when:

(a) Requested by the sponsor of any proposed construction or alteration for which a notice is submitted; or

(b) The FAA determines a study is necessary.

**§ 77.29 Evaluating aeronautical effect.**

(a) The FAA conducts an aeronautical study to determine the impact of a proposed structure, an existing structure that has not yet been studied by the FAA, or an alteration of an existing structure on aeronautical operations, procedures, and the safety of flight. These studies include evaluating:

- (1) The impact on arrival, departure, and en route procedures for aircraft operating under visual flight rules;
- (2) The impact on arrival, departure, and en route procedures for aircraft operating under instrument flight rules;
- (3) The impact on existing and planned public use airports;
- (4) Airport traffic capacity of existing public use airports and public use airport development plans received before the issuance of the final determination;
- (5) Minimum obstacle clearance altitudes, minimum instrument flight rules altitudes, approved or planned instrument approach procedures, and departure procedures;
- (6) The potential effect on ATC radar, direction finders, ATC tower line-of-sight visibility, and physical or electromagnetic effects on air navigation, communication facilities, and other surveillance systems;
- (7) The aeronautical effects resulting from the cumulative impact of a proposed construction or alteration of a structure when combined with the effects of other existing or proposed structures.

(b) If you withdraw the proposed construction or alteration or revise it so that it is no longer identified as an obstruction, or if no further aeronautical study is necessary, the FAA may terminate the study.

**§ 77.31 Determinations.**

(a) The FAA will issue a determination stating whether the proposed construction or alteration would be a hazard to air navigation, and will advise all known interested persons.

(b) The FAA will make determinations based on the aeronautical study findings and will identify the following:

(1) The effects on VFR/IFR aeronautical departure/arrival operations, air traffic procedures, minimum flight altitudes, and existing, planned, or proposed airports listed in § 77.15(e) of which the FAA has received actual notice prior to issuance of a final determination.

(2) The extent of the physical and/or electromagnetic effect on the operation of existing or proposed air navigation

facilities, communication aids, or surveillance systems.

(c) The FAA will issue a Determination of Hazard to Air Navigation when the aeronautical study concludes that the proposed construction or alteration will exceed an obstruction standard and would have a substantial aeronautical impact.

(d) A Determination of No Hazard to Air Navigation will be issued when the aeronautical study concludes that the proposed construction or alteration will exceed an obstruction standard but would not have a substantial aeronautical impact to air navigation. A Determination of No Hazard to Air Navigation may include the following:

- (1) Conditional provisions of a determination.
- (2) Limitations necessary to minimize potential problems, such as the use of temporary construction equipment.
- (3) Supplemental notice requirements, when required.
- (4) Marking and lighting recommendations, as appropriate.

(e) The FAA will issue a Determination of No Hazard to Air Navigation when a proposed structure does not exceed any of the obstruction standards and would not be a hazard to air navigation.

**§ 77.33 Effective period of determinations.**

(a) A determination issued under this subpart is effective 40 days after the date of issuance, unless a petition for discretionary review is received by the FAA within 30 days after issuance. The determination will not become final pending disposition of a petition for discretionary review.

(b) Unless extended, revised, or terminated, each Determination of No Hazard to Air Navigation issued under this subpart expires 18 months after the effective date of the determination, or on the date the proposed construction or alteration is abandoned, whichever is earlier.

(c) A Determination of Hazard to Air Navigation has no expiration date.

**§ 77.35 Extensions, terminations, revisions and corrections.**

(a) You may petition the FAA official that issued the Determination of No Hazard to Air Navigation to revise or reconsider the determination based on new facts or to extend the effective period of the determination, provided that:

(1) Actual structural work of the proposed construction or alteration, such as the laying of a foundation, but not including excavation, has not been started; and

(2) The petition is submitted at least 15 days before the expiration date of the

Determination of No Hazard to Air Navigation.

(b) A Determination of No Hazard to Air Navigation issued for those construction or alteration proposals not requiring an FCC construction permit may be extended by the FAA one time for a period not to exceed 18 months.

(c) A Determination of No Hazard to Air Navigation issued for a proposal requiring an FCC construction permit may be granted extensions for up to 18 months, provided that:

(1) You submit evidence that an application for a construction permit/license was filed with the FCC for the associated site within 6 months of issuance of the determination; and

(2) You submit evidence that additional time is warranted because of FCC requirements; and

(3) Where the FCC issues a construction permit, a final Determination of No Hazard to Air Navigation is effective until the date prescribed by the FCC for completion of the construction. If an extension of the original FCC completion date is needed, an extension of the FAA determination must be requested from the Obstruction Evaluation Service (OES).

(4) If the Commission refuses to issue a construction permit, the final determination expires on the date of its refusal.

**Subpart E—Petitions for Discretionary Review****§ 77.37 General.**

(a) If you are the sponsor, provided a substantive aeronautical comment on a proposal in an aeronautical study, or have a substantive aeronautical comment on the proposal but were not given an opportunity to state it, you may petition the FAA for a discretionary review of a determination, revision, or extension of a determination issued by the FAA.

(b) You may not file a petition for discretionary review for a Determination of No Hazard that is issued for a temporary structure, marking and lighting recommendation, or when a proposed structure or alteration does not exceed obstruction standards contained in subpart C of this part.

**§ 77.39 Contents of a petition.**

(a) You must file a petition for discretionary review in writing and it must be received by the FAA within 30 days after the issuance of a determination under § 77.31, or a revision or extension of the determination under § 77.35.

(b) The petition must contain a full statement of the aeronautical basis on

which the petition is made, and must include new information or facts not previously considered or presented during the aeronautical study, including valid aeronautical reasons why the determination, revisions, or extension made by the FAA should be reviewed.

(c) In the event that the last day of the 30-day filing period falls on a weekend or a day the Federal government is closed, the last day of the filing period is the next day that the government is open.

(d) The FAA will inform the petitioner or sponsor (if other than the petitioner) and the FCC (whenever an FCC-related proposal is involved) of the filing of the petition and that the determination is not final pending disposition of the petition.

#### § 77.41 Discretionary review results.

(a) If discretionary review is granted, the FAA will inform the petitioner and the sponsor (if other than the petitioner) of the issues to be studied and reviewed. The review may include a request for comments and a review of all records from the initial aeronautical study.

(b) If discretionary review is denied, the FAA will notify the petitioner and the sponsor (if other than the petitioner), and the FCC, whenever a FCC-related proposal is involved, of the basis for the denial along with a statement that the determination is final.

(c) After concluding the discretionary review process, the FAA will revise, affirm, or reverse the determination.

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 13, 2010.

**J. Randolph Babbitt,**  
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2010-17767 Filed 7-20-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 97

[Docket No. 30734; Amdt. No. 3382]

#### Standard Instrument Approach Procedures, and Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle Departure Procedures; Miscellaneous Amendments

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This establishes, amends, suspends, or revokes Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAPs) and associated Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle Departure

Procedures for operations at certain airports. These regulatory actions are needed because of the adoption of new or revised criteria, or because of changes occurring in the National Airspace System, such as the commissioning of new navigational facilities, adding new obstacles, or changing air traffic requirements. These changes are designed to provide safe and efficient use of the navigable airspace and to promote safe flight operations under instrument flight rules at the affected airports.

**DATES:** This rule is effective July 21, 2010. The compliance date for each SIAP, associated Takeoff Minimums, and ODP is specified in the amendatory provisions.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of July 21, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** Availability of matters incorporated by reference in the amendment is as follows:

*For Examination—*

1. FAA Rules Docket, FAA Headquarters Building, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591;
2. The FAA Regional Office of the region in which the affected airport is located;
3. The National Flight Procedures Office, 6500 South MacArthur Blvd., Oklahoma City, OK 73169; or
4. The National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202-741-6030, or go to: <http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/code-of-federal-regulations/ibr-locations.html>.

*Availability—*All SIAPs and Takeoff Minimums and ODPs are available online free of charge. Visit <http://www.nfdc.faa.gov> to register. Additionally, individual SIAP and Takeoff Minimums and ODP copies may be obtained from:

1. FAA Public Inquiry Center (APA-200), FAA Headquarters Building, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; or
2. The FAA Regional Office of the region in which the affected airport is located.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Harry J. Hodges, Flight Procedure Standards Branch (AFS-420), Flight Technologies and Programs Divisions, Flight Standards Service, Federal Aviation Administration, Mike Monroney Aeronautical Center, 6500

South MacArthur Blvd., Oklahoma City, OK 73169 (Mail Address: P.O. Box 25082, Oklahoma City, OK 73125) Telephone: (405) 954-4164.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This rule amends Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 97 (14 CFR part 97), by establishing, amending, suspending, or revoking SIAPs, Takeoff Minimums and/or ODPs. The complete regulators description of each SIAP and its associated Takeoff Minimums or ODP for an identified airport is listed on FAA form documents which are incorporated by reference in this amendment under 5 U.S.C. 552(a), 1 CFR part 51, and 14 CFR part 97.20. The applicable FAA Forms are FAA Forms 8260-3, 8260-4, 8260-5, 8260-15A, and 8260-15B when required by an entry on 8260-15A.

The large number of SIAPs, Takeoff Minimums and ODPs, in addition to their complex nature and the need for a special format make publication in the **Federal Register** expensive and impractical. Furthermore, airmen do not use the regulatory text of the SIAPs, Takeoff Minimums or ODPs, but instead refer to their depiction on charts printed by publishers of aeronautical materials. The advantages of incorporation by reference are realized and publication of the complete description of each SIAP, Takeoff Minimums and ODP listed on FAA forms is unnecessary. This amendment provides the affected CFR sections and specifies the types of SIAPs and the effective dates of the associated Takeoff Minimums and ODPs. This amendment also identifies the airport and its location, the procedure, and the amendment number.

#### The Rule

This amendment to 14 CFR part 97 is effective upon publication of each separate SIAP, Takeoff Minimums and ODP as contained in the transmittal. Some SIAP and Takeoff Minimums and textual ODP amendments may have been issued previously by the FAA in a Flight Data Center (FDC) Notice to Airmen (NOTAM) as an emergency action of immediate flight safety relating directly to published aeronautical charts. The circumstances which created the need for some SIAP and Takeoff Minimums and ODP amendments may require making them effective in less than 30 days. For the remaining SIAPs and Takeoff Minimums and ODPs, an effective date at least 30 days after publication is provided.

Further, the SIAPs and Takeoff Minimums and ODPs contained in this amendment are based on the criteria contained in the U.S. Standard for Terminal Instrument Procedures